

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,705	06/25/2003	Neil Wallace Eft		9999
7590 08/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Neil W. Eft			WOLFE JR, WILLIS RAY	
1001 W. Milton St. Alliance, OH 44601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Timanos, OII Tigor			3747	

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 / A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,705	EFT, NEIL WALLACE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLV IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MC	NITH(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		*				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ :	accepted or b)⊡ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Ng				
Certified copies of the priority docum						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International But						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)		w.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	🗖	ormal Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,705

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jarnuszkiewicz et al. Note Figures 1 and 2 and column 3, lines 8-62.

Claims 1-7 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gaertner et al. Note Figures 4-6 and column 5, line 46 through column 6, line 67.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,705

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaertner et al in view of Hasegawa et al. Gaertner et al discloses the claimed invention except for utilizing solenoid operated engine valves controlled by a computer. Hasegawa et al teaches that it is known to provide solenoid operated engine valves (16) controlled by a computer (17) as shown in Figure 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the valves of Gaertner by providing solenoid operated valves controlled by a computer as taught by Hasegawa et al in order to provide for better control of inflow and outflow of each engine cylinder.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references of Kawamura et al, Lavy, Urushihara et al and Widener et al are cited to show EGR systems utilizing a holding reservoir.

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday (4:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry C. Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747

WRW August 18, 2004